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Abstract

This is an on-line appendix showing some of the details of implementation. The notation used is defined in the paper.
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1 MCMC and particle filtering algorithms

1.1 MCMC algorithms for copulas

1.1.1 Full conditional distributions for $\zeta_{t,j}$

In Step 2 of the MCMC algorithm, we draw the mixing variables $\zeta_{t,j}$, where we have dropped the $i$ subscript. For each group $j$, we separate the observations into two groups, those observations belonging to group $j$ and those that do not. We use $n_j$ to denote the number of observations in group $j$, $x_{t,j}$ is a $n_j \times 1$ vector of all $x_{it}$ in this group. Similarly, $D_{t,j}$ is a $n_j \times n_j$ matrix that is a subset of $D_t$, $\lambda_{t,j}$ is the $n_j \times p$ subset of $\lambda_t$, and $\tilde{X}_{t,j}$ is the $n_j \times k$ subset of $\tilde{X}_t$.

$$p(\zeta_{t,j} | x_t, \lambda_t, z_t, \zeta_{t,j-}, \theta) \propto p(x_t | \lambda_t, z_t, \zeta_{t,j}, \zeta_{t,j-}, \theta) p(\zeta_{t,j} | \nu)$$

$$\propto \zeta_{t,j}^{-(n_j+\nu)/2-1} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{t,j}^{-1} \left[ x_{t,j}' D_{t,j}^{-1} x_{t,j} + \nu \right] + \zeta_{t,j}^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_{t,j}' D_{t,j}^{-1} \left[ \tilde{\lambda}_{t,j}' z_t + \beta_{t,j}' \tilde{X}_{t,j} \right] \right)$$

When there is only one group $G = 1$, the model is a standard Student’s $t$ copula. Then, we can integrate out $z_t$ and draw from the full conditional distribution exactly. The full conditional distributions for $\zeta$ are $\zeta_t \sim \text{Inv-Gamma} \left( \frac{\nu+n}{2}, \frac{\nu+\mu_t' R_t^{-1} \mu_t}{2} \right)$ for $t = 1, \ldots, T$.

1.2 Equicorrelation models

To draw missing values of $u_{it}$ in the equicorrelation models with Student’s $t$ errors, we represent the model as $x_t = \sqrt{\zeta_t} R_t^{1/2} \varepsilon_t$ where $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, I_n)$ and $\zeta_t \sim \text{Inv-Gamma} \left( \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} \right)$. We separate $x_t = (\hat{x}_t, x_t^*)$ where $\hat{x}_t$ are the $n_t \times 1$ vector of missing values. Draw $\hat{x}_t$ from their full conditional distribution $\hat{x}_t \sim N \left( \hat{\mu}_t, \zeta_t \hat{R}_t \right)$ where $\hat{\mu}_t = R_{t,12} R_{t,22}^{-1} x_t^*$ and $\hat{R}_t = R_{t,11} - R_{t,12} R_{t,22}^{-1} R_{t,21}$. The matrices $R_{t,ij}$ represent the relevant sub-blocks of the correlation matrix $R_t$. We then take $\hat{u}_{it} = T (\hat{x}_{it} | \nu)$ as the draw for the missing value.
The full conditional distributions for $\zeta_t$ are inverse Gamma distributions

$$\zeta_t \sim \text{Inv-Gamma} \left( \frac{\nu + n}{2}, \frac{\nu + x_t'R^{-1}_t x_t}{2} \right)$$

for $t = 1, \ldots, T$. When drawing the degrees of freedom parameter, we marginalize the mixing variables $\zeta_t$ out of the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance ratio.

### 1.3 Marginal distributions

#### 1.3.1 MCMC algorithms

To estimate the univariate SV models for the marginals, we extend the MCMC algorithm of Omori, Chib, Shephard, and Nakajima (2007) to include skewed Student’s $t$ errors. For convenience, we drop the $i$ sub-scripts.

- Draw the regression and skewness parameters $(\beta_y, \gamma_y)$ jointly. Conditional on the observed data, $\delta_{1:T}$, and $h_{1:T}$, this is simply a regression model with heteroskedasticity.

- Calculate $y_t^* = \log \left( \frac{y_t - W_t \beta_y - \gamma_y \delta_t}{\sqrt{\delta_t}} \right)^2$. Draw the discrete indicator variables $s_{1:T}$ that are part of the 10 component mixture approximation, see Omori et al. (2007).

- Conditional on the indicator variables $s_{1:T}$, draw $(\phi_h, \sigma^2, \rho)$ jointly while marginalizing over $(h_{1:T}, \mu_h)$. As in Omori et al. (2007) we form a linear, Gaussian state space model and use the Kalman filter to maximize the log-posterior to find the mode. Using the independence MH algorithm, we draw a proposal $(\phi_h^*, \sigma^{2*}, \rho^*) \sim q(\phi_h, \sigma^2, \rho)$ from a Student’s $t$ distribution with 5 degrees of freedom, mean equal to the mode, and scale equal to the inverse Hessian at the mode. We accept this draw with probability

$$\alpha = \frac{p(y_{1:T}^* | W_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \delta_{1:T}, \psi^*) p(\phi_h^*, \sigma^{2*}, \rho^*) q(\phi_h, \sigma^2, \rho)}{p(y_{1:T}^* | W_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \delta_{1:T}, \psi) p(\phi_h, \sigma, \rho) q(\phi_h^*, \sigma^{2*}, \rho^*)}$$

(1)

where $p(y_{1:T}^* | s_{1:T}, \delta_{1:T}, \psi^*)$ is the likelihood calculated by the Kalman filter and $p(\phi_h, \sigma, \rho)$
is the prior.

- Draw \((h_{1:T}, \mu_h)\) by forming a linear, Gaussian state space model and using the simulation smoothing algorithm of [Durbin and Koopman (2002)]

- Draw the degrees of freedom \(\nu\) without conditioning on \(\delta_{1:T}\). Conditional on \(h_{1:T}\), the conditional likelihood \(p (y_t | W_t, h_t, \theta)\) is a generalized hyperbolic skewed Student’s \(t\) distribution. In models with no skewness \(\gamma_y\), this reduces to a Student’s \(t\) distribution.

We maximize the log-posterior to find the mode and inverse Hessian at the mode. Using the independence MH algorithm, we draw a proposal \(\nu^* \sim q(\nu)\) from a Student’s \(t\) distribution with 5 degrees of freedom, mean equal to the mode, and scale equal to the inverse Hessian at the mode. We accept this draw with probability

\[
\alpha = \frac{p(y_{1:T} | W_{1:T}, h_{1:T}, \psi^*) p(\nu^*) q(\nu)}{p(y_{1:T} | W_{1:T}, h_{1:T}, \psi) p(\nu) q(\nu^*)} 
\]

where \(p(\nu)\) is the prior. In practice, we optimize under the constraint that \(\nu > 3\) and propose values only in this region.

- Draw \(\delta_t\) for \(t = 1, \ldots, T\) from a generalized inverse Gaussian (GIG) distribution, \(\text{GIG}(\alpha_t, \chi_t, \psi_t)\), where \(\alpha_t = -\frac{\nu + 1}{2}\), \(\chi_t = \frac{(y_t - W_t \beta_y)^2}{\exp(h_t)} + \nu\), and \(\psi_t = \frac{\gamma_y^2}{\exp(h_t)}\). In models with no skewness when \(\gamma_y = 0\), this simplifies to an inverse Gamma distribution.

1.3.2 Particle filtering algorithms for SV models

We run the particle filter to calculate the probability integral transforms \(u_t = F (y_t | y_{1:t-1}, W_{1:t-1}, \psi)\), where we have dropped the \(i\) subscripts for convenience.

For \(t = 1, \ldots, T\), run:

- For \(m = 1, \ldots, M\), draw from a proposal distribution: \(h_t^{(m)} \sim q(h_t | h_{t-1}^{(m)}, y_{1:t}, W_{1:t}, \psi)\).

- For \(m = 1, \ldots, M\), calculate the PIT: \(\hat{u}_t^{(m)} = \hat{w}_{t-1}^{(m)} \frac{F(Y_t < y_t | W_t, h_t^{(m)})}{q(h_t | h_{t-1}^{(m)}, y_{1:t}, W_{1:t}, \psi)}\).
Calculate the PIT: $\hat{u}_t = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{u}_t^{(m)}$

For $m = 1, \ldots, M$, calculate the importance weight: $w_t^{(m)} \propto \frac{\hat{u}_{t-1}^{(m)} f(y_t|W_t, h_t^{(m)}|, \psi) f(h_t^{(m)}|h_t^{(m)}_{t-1}, \psi)}{q(h_t^{(m)}|h_t^{(m)}_{t-1}, y_t, W_t, \psi)}$

For $m = 1, \ldots, M$, normalize the weights: $\hat{w}_t^{(m)} = \frac{w_t^{(m)}}{\sum_{m=1}^{M} w_t^{(m)}}$.

Calculate the effective sample size: $\text{ESS}_t = \frac{1}{\sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{w}_t^{(m)})^2}$.

If $\text{ESS}_t < 0.5M$ resample $\{h_t^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^{M}$ with probabilities $\{\hat{w}_t^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^{M}$ and set $\hat{w}_t = 1/M$.

At time $t = 1$, the initial proposal distribution $q(h_1|y_1, W_1, \psi)$ does not depend on any previous particles.

2 Models and priors

2.1 Priors for the univariate SV models

For the univariate SV models, we use the same priors for all series and drop the $i$ subscript for convenience. We let $\mu_h \sim \text{N}(0.5, 2)$, $\sigma_h^2 \sim \text{Inv-Gamma}(5, 0.5)$, $\phi_h \sim \text{Beta}(50, 2)$, $\rho$ is uniform over $(-1, 1)$, $\beta_y$ and $\gamma_y$ are jointly multivariate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix equal to the identity matrix. Finally, the degrees of freedom is $\nu_y = \bar{\nu}_y + 3$ where $\bar{\nu}_y \sim \text{Gamma}(3.5, 2)$.

2.2 Conditional copula densities

The density of the Gaussian copula is

$$p(u_t|\Lambda_t, X_t, \theta) = |R_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x_t' \left[ R_t^{-1} - I \right] x_t \right),$$

where $x_{it} = \Phi^{-1}(u_{it})$. The density of the Student’s $t$ copula is

$$p(u_t|\Lambda_t, X_t, \theta) = |R_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\nu+n}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \left( 1 + \frac{x_t' R_t^{-1} x_t}{\nu} \right)^{-\frac{(\nu+n)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{x_{it}^2}{\nu} \right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}},$$
where $x_{it} = T^{-1}(u_{it} | \nu)$. The grouped Student’s $t$ copula does not have a closed from conditional density even when $R_t = R$.

### 2.3 Stochastic equicorrelation models

In a copula with a stochastic equicorrelation matrix, parameterizing the model directly in terms of the factors driving the matrix imposes the minimal number of restrictions on the flexibility of the correlation matrices. This model is defined as

$$ u_{it} = P(\varepsilon_{it} | \theta), \quad x_t = R_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim p(\varepsilon_t | \theta), $$

where the distribution of $\varepsilon_t$ can be Gaussian, Student’s $t$, skewed Student’s $t$, etc. In the one-block equicorrelation model, the state variable $\Lambda_t$ is one dimensional and the correlation matrix evolves as

$$ R_t = (1 - \rho_t) I_n + \rho_t J_{n \times n}, \quad \rho_t = a + \frac{(1 - a)}{1 + \exp(-\Lambda_t)}, \quad a = \frac{-1}{n - 1}, $$

$$ \Lambda_{t+1} = \mu + \Phi_{\lambda}(\Lambda_t - \mu) + Q \eta_t, \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \Sigma), $$

where $J_{n \times n}$ is a matrix of ones. The restriction that $\rho_t \in (-\frac{1}{n-1}, 1)$ ensures that $R_t$ is positive definite.

In the two-block equicorrelation model, the state vector is has dimension $3 \times 1$ with
elements $\Lambda_t = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. The correlation matrix evolves as

$$R_t = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 - \rho_{11,t}) I_{n_1} & 0 \\
0 & (1 - \rho_{22,t}) I_{n_2}
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_{11,t} J_{n_1 \times n_1} & \rho_{12,t} J_{n_1 \times n_2} \\
\rho_{12,t} J_{n_2 \times n_1} & \rho_{22,t} J_{n_2 \times n_2}
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$a_{11} = -\frac{1}{n_1 - 1},$$
$$a_{22} = -\frac{1}{n_2 - 1},$$
$$a_{12} = -\sqrt{\frac{(\rho_{11,t} (n_1 - 1) + 1) (\rho_{22,t} (n_2 - 1) + 1)}{n_1 n_2}},$$
$$b_{12} = \sqrt{\frac{(\rho_{11,t} (n_1 - 1) + 1) (\rho_{22,t} (n_2 - 1) + 1)}{n_1 n_2}},$$

where $n_i$ are the number of observations in block $i$. The restrictions on $\rho_{11,t}, \rho_{12,t},$ and $\rho_{22,t}$ ensure that $R_t$ is positive definite. Expressions for the determinant $|R_t|$, inverse $R_t^{-1}$, and quadratic forms $x_t' R_t^{-1} x_t$ can be found in [Engle and Kelly (2012)].
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