

Lecture Note of Bus 41202, Spring 2007: Stochastic Diffusion & Option Pricing

Stock Options:

- A contract giving its holder the right, but not obligation, to trade shares of a common stock by a certain date for a specified price.
- Call option: to buy
- Put option: to sell
- Specified price: strike price K
- date: expiration T

Note: You can also sell a call or put option (underwrite).

Factors affecting the price of an option

- Current stock price: P_t
- time to expiration: $T - t$
- Risk-free interest rate: r per annum
- Stock volatility: σ annualized

Payoff for European options (exercised at T only)

Call option:

$$V(P_T) = (P_T - K)_+ = \begin{cases} P_T - K & \text{if } P_T > K \\ 0 & \text{if } P_T \leq K \end{cases}$$

The holder only exercises her option if $P_T > K$ (buys the stock via exercising the option and sells the stock on the market).

Put option:

$$V(P_T) = (K - P_T)_+ = \begin{cases} K - P_T & \text{if } P_T < K \\ 0 & \text{if } P_T \geq K \end{cases}$$

The holder only exercises her option if $P_T < K$ (buys the stock from the market and sells it via option).

Mathematical framework

- Stock (log) price follows a diffusion equation, i.e. a continuous-time continuous stochastic process such as

$$dx_t = \mu(x_t, t)dt + \sigma(x_t, t)dw_t,$$

where $\mu(x_t, t)$ and $\sigma(x_t, t)$ are the drift and diffusion coefficient, respectively, and w_t is a standard Brownian motion (or Wiener process).

- In a complete market, use hedging to derive the price of an option (no arbitrage argument).
- In an incomplete market (e.g. existence of jumps), specify risk and a hedging strategy to minimize the risk.

Stochastic processes

- Wiener process (or Standard Brownian motion)
 - notation: w_t

- initial value: $w_0 = 0$
- small increments are independent and normal

time points: $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = t$

$\{\Delta w_i = w_{t_i} - w_{t_{i-1}}\}$ are independent

$$\Delta w_t = w_{t+\Delta t} - w_t \sim N(0, \Delta t).$$

- property: $w_t \sim N(0, t)$
- zero drift and rate of variance change is 1.
- A simple way to understand Wiener processes is to do simulation. In R or S-Plus, this can be achieved by using:

```
n=5000
at = rnorm(n)
wt = cumsum(at)/sqrt(n)
plot(wt, type='l')
```

Repeat the above commands to generate lots of “wt” series.

- Generalized Wiener process

$$dx_t = \mu dt + \sigma dw_t,$$

where the drift μ & rate of volatility change σ are constant.

- Ito's process

$$dx_t = \mu(x_t, t)dt + \sigma(x_t, t)dw_t,$$

where both drift and volatility are time-varying.




Figure 1: Time plots of four simulated Wiener processes

- Geometric Brownian motion

$$dP_t = \mu P_t dt + \sigma P_t dw_t,$$

so that $\mu(P_t, t) = \mu P_t$ and $\sigma(P_t, t) = \sigma P_t$ with μ and σ being constant.

Illustration: Four simulated standard Brownian motions. key feature: variability increases with time.

Assume that the price of a stock follows a geometric Brownian motion. What is the distribution of the log return?

To answer this question, we need Ito's calculus.

Review of differentiation

$G(x)$: a differentiable function of x .

What is $dG(x)$?

Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G \equiv G(x + \Delta x) - G(x) &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \Delta x \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} (\Delta x)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 G}{\partial x^3} (\Delta x)^3 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$dG = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx.$$

How about $G(x, y)$?

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \Delta y \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} (\Delta x)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x \partial y} \Delta x \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y^2} (\Delta y)^2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$dG = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} dy.$$

Stochastic differentiation

Now, consider $G(x_t, t)$ with x_t being an Ito's process.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \Delta t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} (\Delta x)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x \partial t} \Delta x \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial t^2} (\Delta t)^2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

A discretized version of the Ito's process is

$$\Delta x = \mu_* \Delta t + \sigma_* \epsilon \sqrt{\Delta t},$$

where $\mu_* = \mu(x_t, t)$ and $\sigma_* = \sigma(x_t, t)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta x)^2 &= \mu_*^2 (\Delta t)^2 + \sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t + 2\mu_* \sigma_* \epsilon (\Delta t)^{3/2} \\ &= \sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t + H(\Delta t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\Delta x)^2$ contains a term of order Δt .

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t) &= \sigma_*^2 \Delta t, \\ \text{Var}(\sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t) &= E[\sigma_*^4 \epsilon^4 (\Delta t)^2] - [E(\sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t)]^2 = 2\sigma_*^4 (\Delta t)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $E(\epsilon^4) = 3$. These two properties show that

$$\sigma_*^2 \epsilon^2 \Delta t \rightarrow \sigma_*^2 \Delta t \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$(\Delta x)^2 \rightarrow \sigma_*^2 dt \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0.$$

Using this result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dG &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} \sigma_*^2 dt \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \mu_* + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} \sigma_*^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \sigma_* dw_t. \end{aligned}$$

This is the well-known Ito's lemma.

Example. Let $G(w_t, t) = w_t^2$. What is $dG(w_t, t)$?

Answer: Here $\mu_* = 0$ and $\sigma_* = 1$.

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial w_t} = 2w_t, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial w_t^2} = 2.$$

Therefore,

$$dw_t^2 = (2w_t \times 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 \times 1)dt + 2w_t dw_t = dt + 2w_t dw_t.$$

If P_t follows a geometric Brownian motion, what is the model for $\ln(P_t)$?

Answer: Let $G(P_t, t) = \ln(P_t)$. we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial P_t} = \frac{1}{P_t}, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial P_t^2} = \frac{1-1}{2 P_t^2}.$$

Consequently, via Ito's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d \ln(P_t) &= \left(\frac{1}{P_t} \mu P_t + \frac{1-1}{2 P_t^2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \right) dt + \frac{1}{P_t} \sigma P_t dw_t \\ &= \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma dw_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ln(P_t)$ follows a generalized Wiener Process with drift rate $\mu - \sigma^2/2$ and variance rate σ^2 .

The log return from t to T is normal with mean $(\mu - \sigma^2/2)(T - t)$ and variance $\sigma^2(T - t)$.

Estimation of μ and σ

Assume that n log returns are available, say $\{r_t | t = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Statistical theory:

Estimate the mean and variance by the sample mean and variance.

$$\bar{r} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n r_t}{n},$$
$$s_r^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^n (r_t - \bar{r})^2.$$

Remember the length of time intervals!

Let Δ be the length of time intervals measured in years.

Then, the distribution of r_t is

$$r_t \sim N[(\mu - \sigma^2/2)\Delta, \sigma^2\Delta].$$

We obtain the estimates

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{s_r}{\sqrt{\Delta}}.$$
$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\bar{r}}{\Delta} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} = \frac{\bar{r}}{\Delta} + \frac{s_r^2}{2\Delta}.$$

Example. Daily log returns of IBM stock in 1998.

The data show $\bar{r} = 0.002276$ and $s_r = 0.01915$.

Since $\Delta = 1/252$ year, we obtain that

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{s_r}{\sqrt{\Delta}} = 0.3040, \quad \hat{\mu} = \frac{\bar{r}}{\Delta} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} = 0.6198.$$

Thus, the estimated expected return was 61.98% and the standard deviation was 30.4% per annum for IBM stock in 1998.

Example. Daily log returns of Cisco stock in 1999.

Data show $\bar{r} = 0.00332$ and $s_r = 0.026303$,

Also, $Q(12) = 10.8$. Therefore, we have

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{s_r}{\sqrt{\Delta}} = \frac{0.026303}{\sqrt{1.0/252.0}} = 0.418, \quad \hat{\mu} = \frac{\bar{r}}{\Delta} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{2} = 0.924.$$

Expected return was 92.4% per annum

Estimated s.d. was 41.8% per annum.

Example. Daily log returns of Cisco stock in 2001.

Data show $\bar{r} = -0.00301$ and $s_r = 0.05192$.

Therefore, $\hat{\sigma} = 0.818$ $\hat{\mu} = -0.412$.

Time-varying nature of mean and volatility is clearly shown.

Distributions of stock prices

If the price follows

$$dP_t = \mu P_t dt + \sigma P_t dw_t,$$

then,

$$\ln(P_T) - \ln(P_t) \sim N \left[\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t), \sigma^2 (T - t) \right].$$

Consequently, given P_t ,

$$\ln(P_T) \sim N \left[\ln(P_t) + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t), \sigma^2 (T - t) \right],$$

and we obtain (log-normal dist; ch. 1)

$$E(P_T) = P_t \exp[\mu(T - t)],$$

$$\text{Var}(P_T) = P_t^2 \exp[2\mu(T - t)] \{ \exp[\sigma^2(T - t)] - 1 \}.$$

The result can be used to make inference about P_T .

Simulation is often used to study the behavior of P_T .

Black-Scholes equation

- Price of stock: P_t is a Geo. B. Motion
- price of derivative: $G_t = G(P_t, t)$ contingent the stock
- Risk neutral world: expected returns are given by the risk-free interest rate (no arbitrage)

From Ito's lemma:

$$dG_t = \left(\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} \mu P_t + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} \sigma P_t dw_t.$$

A discretized version of the set-up:

$$\Delta P_t = \mu P_t \Delta t + \sigma P_t \Delta w_t,$$
$$\Delta G_t = \left(\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} \mu P_t + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \right) \Delta t + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} \sigma P_t \Delta w_t,$$

Consider the **Portfolio**:

- short on derivative
- long $\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t}$ shares of the stock.

Value of the portfolio is

$$V_t = -G_t + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} P_t.$$

The change in value is

$$\Delta V_t = -\Delta G_t + \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} \Delta P_t.$$

by substitution, we have

$$\Delta V_t = \left(-\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \right) \Delta t.$$

No stochastic component involved.

The portfolio must be riskless during a small time interval.

$$\Delta V_t = rV_t \Delta t$$

where r is the risk-free interest rate. We then have

$$\left(\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \right) \Delta t = r \left(G_t - \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} P_t \right) \Delta t.$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} + rP_t \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 P_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} = rG_t,$$

the Black-Scholes differential equ. for derivative pricing.

Example. A forward contract on a stock (no dividend). Here

$$G_t = P_t - K \exp[-r(T - t)]$$

where K is the delivery price. We have

$$\frac{\partial G_t}{\partial t} = -rK \exp[-r(T - t)], \quad \frac{\partial G_t}{\partial P_t} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial^2 G_t}{\partial P_t^2} = 0.$$

Substituting these quantities into LHS yields

$$-rK \exp[-r(T - t)] + rP_t = r\{P_t - K \exp[-r(T - t)]\},$$

which equals RHS.

Black-Scholes formulas

A European call option: expected payoff

$$E_*[\max(P_T - K, 0)]$$

Price of the call: (current value)

$$c_t = \exp[-r(T - t)]E_*[\max(P_T - K, 0)].$$

In a risk-neutral world, $\mu = r$ so that

$$\ln(P_T) \sim N \left[\ln(P_t) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t), \sigma^2(T - t) \right].$$

Let $g(P_T)$ be the pdf of P_T . Then,

$$c_t = \exp[-r(T - t)] \int_K^\infty (P_T - K)g(P_T)dP_T.$$

After some algebra (appendix)

$$c_t = P_t\Phi(h_+) - K \exp[-r(T - t)]\Phi(h_-)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the CDF of $N(0, 1)$,

$$h_+ = \frac{\ln(P_t/K) + (r + \sigma^2/2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$
$$h_- = \frac{\ln(P_t/K) + (r - \sigma^2/2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} = h_+ - \sigma\sqrt{T - t}.$$

See Chapter 6 for some interpretations of the formula.

For put option:

$$p_t = K \exp[-r(T - t)]\Phi(-h_-) - P_t\Phi(-h_+).$$

Alternatively, use the put-call parity:

$$p_t - c_t = K \exp[-r(T - t)] - P_t.$$

Example. $P_t = \$80$. $\sigma = 20\%$ per annum. $r = 8\%$ per annum.

What is the price of a European call option with a strike price of \$90 that will expire in 3 months?

From the assumptions, we have $P_t = 80$, $K = 90$, $T - t = 0.25$, $\sigma = 0.2$ and $r = 0.08$. Therefore,

$$h_+ = \frac{\ln(80/90) + (0.08 + 0.04/2) \times 0.25}{.2\sqrt{0.25}} = -0.9278$$
$$h_- = h_+ - .2\sqrt{.25} = -1.0278.$$

It can be found

$$\Phi(-.9278) = 0.1767, \quad \Phi(-1.0278) = 0.1520.$$

Therefore,

$$c_t = \$80\Phi(-0.9278) - \$90\Phi(-1.0278) \exp(-0.02) = \$0.73.$$

The stock price has to rise by \$10.73 for the purchaser of the call option to break even.

If $K = \$81$, then

$$c_t = \$80\Phi(0.125775) - \$81 \exp(-0.02)\Phi(0.025775) = \$3.49.$$

A note on computer program: Check the web site:

<http://www.cse.ucsd.edu/goguen/courses/130/SayBlackScholes.html>

Lower bounds of European options: No dividends.

$$c_t \geq P_t - K \exp[-r(T - t)].$$

Why?

Consider two portfolios:

- A: One European call option plus cash $K \exp[-r(T - t)]$.
- B: One share of the stock.

For A: Invest the cash at risk-free interest rate. At time T , the value is K . If $P_T > K$, the call option is exercised so that the portfolio is worth P_T . If $P_T < K$, the call option expires at T and the portfolio is worth K . Therefore, the value of the portfolio is $\max(P_T, K)$.

For B: The value at time T is P_T .

Thus, portfolio A must be worth more than portfolio B today; that is,

$$c_t + K \exp[-r(T - t)] \geq P_t.$$

See Example 6.7 for an application.

Stochastic integral

The formula

$$\int_0^t dx_s = x_t - x_0$$

continues hold. In particular,

$$\int_0^t dw_s = w_t - w_0 = w_t.$$

From

$$dw_t^2 = dt + 2w_t dw_t$$

we have

$$w_t^2 = t + 2 \int_0^t w_s dw_s.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^t w_s dw_s = \frac{1}{2}(w_t^2 - t).$$

Different from $\int_0^t y dy = (y_t^2 - y_0^2)/2$.

Assume x_t is a Geo. Brownian motion,

$$dx_t = \mu x_t dt + \sigma x_t dw_t.$$

Apply Ito's lemma to $G(x_t, t) = \ln(x_t)$, we obtain

$$d \ln(x_t) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma dw_t.$$

Taking integration, we have

$$\int_0^t d \ln(x_s) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \int_0^t ds + \sigma \int_0^t dw_s.$$

Consequently,

$$\ln(x_t) = \ln(x_0) + (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma w_t,$$

and

$$x_t = x_0 \exp[(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma w_t].$$

Change x_t to P_t . The price is

$$P_t = P_0 \exp[(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma w_t].$$

Jump diffusion

Weaknesses of diffusion models:

- no volatility smile (convex function of implied volatility vs strike price)
- fail to capture effects of rare events (tails)

Modification: jump diffusion and stochastic volatility

Jumps are governed by a probability law:

Poisson process: X_t is a Poisson process if

$$Pr(X_t = m) = \frac{\lambda^m t^m}{m!} \exp(-\lambda t), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Use a special jump diffusion model by Kou (2002).

$$\frac{dP_t}{P_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dw_t + d\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} (J_i - 1)\right),$$

- w_t : a Wiener process,
- n_t : a Poisson process with rate λ ,
- $\{J_i\}$: iid such that $X = \ln(J)$ has a double exp. dist. with pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\eta} e^{-|x-\kappa|/\eta}, \quad 0 < \eta < 1.$$

- the above three processes are independent.

n_t = the number of jumps in $[0, t]$ and Poisson(λt). At the i th jump, the proportion of price jump is $J_i - 1$.

For pdf of double exp. dist., see Figure 6.8 of the text.

Stock price under the jump diffusion model:

$$P_t = P_0 \exp[(\mu - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma w_t] \prod_{i=1}^{n_t} J_i.$$

This result can be used to obtain the distribution for the return series.

Price of an option: Analytical results available, but complicated.

Example $P_t = \$80$. $K = \$81$. $r = 0.08$ and $T - t = 0.25$.

Jump: $\lambda = 10$, $\kappa = -0.02$ and $\eta = 0.02$.

We obtain $c_t = \$3.92$, which is higher than $\$3.49$ of Example 6.6.

$p_t = \$3.31$, which is also higher.